

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/810,023		03/26/2004	Zhaofu Hu	8416	
25859	7590	10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
WEI TE CHUNG				CANNING, ANTHONY J	
FOXCONN INTERNATIONAL, INC. 1650 MEMOREX DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050				2879	
		•		DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assis a Commence	10/810,023	HU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony J. Canning	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	uly 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,18 and 20-22</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,18 and 20-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= 7 :		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			
application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/06</u> .	6) Other:	ατοπε προιοστίστι	
The state of the s			

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement of Amendment

1. The amendment to the instant application was entered on 24 July 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A portion of the claim is missing and the examiner does not know what the limitation of alumina or magnesia is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (U.S. 5,534,743).
- 6. Regarding claim 1, Jones et al. disclose a barrier array for use in a flat panel display including: a shadow mask (see Fig. 8, item 66; column 10, lines 16-17) defining a plurality of

Art Unit: 2879

openings (see Fig. 8, item 72; column 10, line 20) therethrough according to a predetermined pattern (lines 2-4 in the abstract say that the figure is formed via differential etching, which the examiner interprets as a predetermined pattern), the predetermined pattern being in accordance with a pixel pattern of a flat panel display (see Fig. 9; column 10 lines 52-63), the shadow mask having an upper and lower surface (see Fig. 8, item 66) and an insulative layer including a first portion layer (see Fig. 8, item 68; column 10, lines 15-17) formed on the upper surface of the shadow mask, a plurality of second portions (see Fig. 1, item 70; column 10, lines 15-18), and a third portion formed on the lower surface of the shadow mask (see Fig. 8, item 64; column 10, lines 15-17), the second portions disposed in the respective openings and connecting the first portion with the third portion (see Fig. 8).

7. Regarding claim 2, Jones et al. disclose the barrier array as described in claim 1, wherein the shadow mask is made from a material selected from the group: invar, low carbon steel, or another suitable metal alloy. Item 28 of figure 7 corresponds to item 66 of figure 8. In column 10, line 17, it is disclosed that the perforated metal layer (items 28 and 66) is an electrode. Jones et al. disclose, in lines 23-27 of column 10 that any suitable material may be used in the layers and components of a flat panel display. In Table 1 (column 7), step 4 of the manufacturing process is of a conductor using the alloy of Cr-Cu-Cr, which is an appropriate metal for the perforated metal layer. The coefficient of thermal expansion of Cr-Cu-Cr¹ is close to that of glass², which is used as the substrate in the flat panel display of Jones et al..

 ^{9.91}PPM/K, according to Williams Advanced Materials company
 9.93PPM/K, SiO₂-Na₂O (23% mol Na₂O) glass, Material Science and Engineering Handbook

Application/Control Number: 10/810,023 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

8. Regarding claim 3, Jones et al. disclose the barrier array as described in claim 1, wherein the insulative layer comprises alumina or magnesia (column 6, lines 15-16). Jones et al. specify alumina.

- 9. Regarding claim 18, Jones et al. disclose a barrier array for use in a flat panel display including: a metal plate (see Fig. 8, item 66; column 10, lines 15-17) including a plurality of openings (see Fig. 8, item 72; column 10, lines 18-19) therethrough according to a pixel pattern of a flat panel display (column 10 lines 52-63), the shadow mask having an upper and lower surface (see Fig. 8, item 66), opposite to one another; and an insulative including a first portion layer (see Fig. 8, item 68; column 10, lines 15-17) formed on the upper surface of the shadow mask and a plurality of second portions extending from the first portion into the respective openings and formed on the inner edges of the metal plate that bound the respective openings (see Fig. 8, item 70; column 10, lines 15-18).
- 10. Regarding claim 20, Jones et al. disclose the barrier array as described in claim 18, wherein the insulative layer includes a third portion formed on the lower surface of the metal plate (see Fig. 8, item 64; column 10, lines 15-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,023

Art Unit: 2879

12. Claims 4, 5 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. (U.S. 5,534,743).

Page 5

13. As to claims 4, 5 and 22, Jones et al. disclose the barrier array as described in claims 3 and 18. Jones et al. fail to disclose that the thickness of the insulative layer being between 10-500 μm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to disclose that the thickness of the insulative layer being between 10-500 μm, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

14. In light of the amendments a new rejection has been given.

Contact Information

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Canning whose telephone number is (571)-272-2486. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D. Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,023

Art Unit: 2879

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony Canning W

29 September 2006

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800